

Dear Mr Abu,

I have paid you £3,000 so far. I shall pay the remaining £2000 of the initial deposit ASAP.

I received degrading and inhuman treatment during the criminal conviction. I want to make it easy for you to work with this case. Please be patient with this one letter and I am sure everything will move faster that you expect.

I have attached the documents to a web page calendar. Court hearings were on 25 April, 9 May, 30 May, 10 June, and 8th July. If you do not find it convenient to click these, please let me know, and I will sent them by email attachment

Once you have read this letter, please go on to my statement about the criminal conviction.

This statement has a main body and additional information.

Everything has headings. In the main body, '1 to #5 (slightly over one page each) dates are on the headings. In the additional information, #1 to #9 dates are inside the paragraphs.

Please read the headings in the main body and additional information, which would give you an idea of what is in the case. I tried to include many of the incidents that happened in the case.

I have addressed three items in this letter.

The first item is a question. I thought when you didn't present evidence, even if you accuse someone truthfully they wouldn't be punished. She just made allegations without proof and convicted me by proxy. I thought people were innocent until proven guilty She never came to court.

I want to explain what I mean when I say Viju doesn't have evidence. She for example scanned a letter that I wrote to a computer, deleted my name and signature,

and said look my sister is mentally unstable and wrote an anonymous letter. Viju also created some text messages on a typewriter which I did not send her. You see if I harass her and her phone and mobile over 6 year period, she would have a Trace of the phone numbers and everything. on the phone you tell the police and they keep a Trace.

So my first item is a question, if Viju could make just allegations could get me convicted, do you want my counter allegations? How do you know who to believe? I was denied the right of appeal and denied a subject access request.

Does my evidence need to be 100 times more stronger than Viju's, in order to come out equal? I have a little more evidence Viju off my side of the story.

You can argue that if I hadn't pleaded guilty that would have been more difficult. you are quite right

But my item number 2 is what I have happened if I had pleaded innocent, which is definitely the better choice? In ADDITIONAL INFORMATION #5, 6, 7, 8, 9 would show there was foul play on a higher level than Viju Wortley. This shows it was not entirely dependent on what I say. They told me Viju did not want me charged she wants me as mental incompetent.

Here is what might have happened had I pleaded innocent?

However there was an unknown, but venomous snake lurking even then.

You see if you believe (take at face value) my headings in [MAIN BODY] and [ADDITIONAL INFORMATION] you see the police were biassed against me AND SOMETHING WAS GOING ON.

They(they =the court) also had the MENTAL HEALTH CHARGE which they said from day#1 is what Viju Wortley wanted. They (they =the court) used NO MEDICAL REPORTS, NO GPS NURSES, PSYCHIATRISTS OR PSYCHOLOGISTS. A mental health charge as per the desires of Viju Wortley, completely without the intervention of medical professionals.

If my case had gone on trial, I would not had a normal trial. It would have been run under mental health principles not court rules. Mental health is a

court by itself where there is no appeal. If mental health rules were to be applied to my case on trial, that would also be a can of worms, unless I had a very good lawyer who could stop them applying mental health rules.

If God gave me a choice between having (fake) scurrilous things said about me as part of an alleged crime versus the same things said about me as part of a mental health allegation, I would prefer the crime version, the scurrilous allegations being the same.

Finally item 3 why did I plead guilty? [More about this in Main Body #2]

You see my reasons for the incorrect plea was intimidation by my lawyer under much prolonged trauma (which took place before the lawyer and case but are related to it) is briefly outlined in my statement.

If you are not happy with this explanation of why I entered a wrong guilty plea when I believed I was innocent, I can provide additional material – [without changing the explanation I give you here.] This additional material should be all how much I have suffered before such an intimidation took place.

There is a nasty thing with the British female, and what gets her intimidated. I am being treated as if I am her. I am not her. Differences are more than skin-deep.

I have met other countries' people who said their females-none are as easily intimidated as British ones. I feel the same way. If you were to close your eyes and see me as a man, you would find it easier (may not be easy but would be easier) to understand the whys and wherefores of how I am intimidated.

No insults intended. I should not even live there if not a human can understand me there.

NOW THE GUILTY PLEA WAS THE WRONG ONE AS THAT WAS NOT INTENDED, AND I DID NOT DO MY PLAINTIFFS INCOHERENT AND SEMI-LUNATIC ALLEGATIONS.

I feel there is NO moving forward with the reason for the guilty plea (how was I forced) if we don't get out of psychometrics of intimidation of British females. If they are applying some such standard they should say so.

Instead of spending too much time, please see my headings then what you feel you need next. I have more files, and have done my best

Thanking you

Respectfully