

I would like to write an affidavit to the court.

This is about my criminal conviction at Basingstoke Magistrates dated 8th July 2013.

I am not legally qualified. I also understand that my opinion is not relevant to the courts. I only write this affidavit to allow the courts to assess me, and judge what parts of my opinion tally with theirs, or if that is inapplicable, to simply assess me for validity.

I writing this because I was falsely sentenced, and since after the sentencing, 12 years have passed with me being punished more severely than a murderer.

I understand that the sentence I just spoke above prejudiced you, because claim I am being handed the ultimate punishment. Nonetheless, I rest hope in the faith this court does not want to punish people for what they have not done, coupled with the faith you will read a few pages only, to understand and assess my explanation. Plus because this skilled barrister dedicated to serving the law, you will revise your judgment, taking into account truthfulness and morals.

In this affidavit parts (1) and (2)

Part (1)I want to briefly explain what aspects of the submitted evidence against me (statements and evidence submitted by the plaintiff in her civil case statement and criminal case statement) are fraudulent, or do not corroborate her allegations. (The actual evidences are already with the barrister and will be attached to this affidavit from my side to finalize this affidavit.

These evidences are documents from and exchanged with Basingstoke Magistrates. Attaching exact documents to each statement of the affidavit makes sure nobody thinks there is hanky-panky in the making of this affidavit).

Now the exact allegation against me seems to far exceed 'harassment without violence' therefore we need take a wholistic approach and include the allegations in the civil case to judge what the UKBA have against me.

Also I would be interested, even if the courts are not, to check for evidences acceptable to the court that indicate the plaintiff lied maliciously about me. Both the civil case and the criminal case are about the same subject.

According to me, which has not been corroborated by any living being, in the civil case, the plaintiff has rewritten my life-history 'since birth' using a psychiatric template or writing style, and it has a wiff of murder. Plaintiff may have used helpers to write the document. Or she may have found internet sources to adhere to this format.

If the court is interested, they may assess the 27-page civil case document submitted to Reading County Court to see if my perception is correct about a psychiatric template being used, and the wiff of murder. I am not qualified in the humanities although I have studied language to an advanced level.

(2)There are also certain gaps I need to fill in. I will be quick/brief.part (3)Finally the guilty plea. Attaching appropriate documents, a brief explanation follows.

part (4)procedural error

Finally the courts can say all this is wonderful, but to appeal a case that has already been decided, I need to produce procedural errors. Since I am not legally trained, I am not in a position to judge what the court would consider to be a procedural error.

But in unqualified lay terms, I can tell you what procedural errors took place, whether or not they are a part of usual UK law-I would not know. Plus I write a disclaimer telling you what I did, and this is DIFFERENT FROM what the plaintiff alleged. It did not involve women and children.

Whereas, you do not have evidence of what the plaintiff alleged*, you will likely be able to dig up evidence of what I testify I actually did, because it is the truth but her allegation set were fabrications. I harassed Steven Wortley but this was with emails sent to his work email. He is a scientist at the Atomic Weapons Establishment. I just sent a couple of newsletters and messages. There was no sustained attack. It had no relation to child bearing or families.

I did not touch their home. The bit about "since 6 years from 2006 to 2012 you pursued a course of conduct...non stop messages to Mrs Wortley and the children." IS TOTALLY FALSE.

I was hand-delivered the civil case papers by a white-uniformed driver whose limousine was parked in Bourne Court, I was amazed by its length as I had never seen one before. The limo driver said he coming daily to catch sight of me as he was not supposed to ring the doorbell.

When I arrived at Reading County Court the plaintiff had purchased privacy so I had difficulty finding which room my hearing was to be in. Plaintiff's civil lawyer said she paid him £6,000 for this single appearance and he was to inform that I would pay compensations through the nose and become bankrupt.

At the hearing, the plaintiff's civil lawyer advised the civil judge of a special mental illness I supposedly had which needed mental assessments every 5 minutes. I hope this mental illness allegation brought up in the civil court raises eyebrows.

Why? Because even if a person is acting sane, you can argue that 5 minutes later they will do something bad. In other words, this is a type of 'mental illness' that can never be disproved, giving accusers invincible correctness.

You only have my statement that this 'mental illness' was brought up at the civil hearing. But I am sure the court knows this idea was used in the criminal proceedings. That's why there was a mental assessment repeated five days after the initial one of 19.04.2013 by the same lady.

Plaintiff's civil case requested a ban of me from entering 5 counties of England for a year, or to be sent long time to prison.(In court document) The judge expressed shock at a ban from entire counties. It turned out my sisters children traveled there once a year and they might be kidnapped while riding a bus in those counties. Considering that in 2013 my niece and nephew were a head taller than me, that would have been physically impossible.

The plaintiff's civil case alleged that since we were children she had always been brilliant and I was a failure. While this is factually incorrect, I thought British courts would not like statements like that. Maybe not scrutinized.

God bless his soul, the lawyer also explained after the hearing he was going up the fifth floor to pick up the plaintiff who hid there during my civil hearing, to take her home.

Finally, about the Pembroke Mental Health Center. Male employees from this agency were kicking and banging the door like they would break it and it was terrifying. Allegedly this was intended to make me engage with female employees to love my parents. This was not clear to me for many years. I lacked police protection from the door-banging, but it was not perceived as needing police protection as they were healers.

They had defended themselves against my written complaints by saying they had were coming to save my life and I was suicidal. A bogus suicide allegation was extensively repeated. One Martin Morris of NHS Complaints, who retired shortly after, told me that my father had made a malicious referral to mental health services, and that the Pembroke's visits were not aiming to save my life. Mr Morris however, became unavailable for comment and I was to send my complaints to another office to one Chris Bumstead and Jacent Tracey.

I only quote this because if the Home Office investigate and find evidence, they will find that I consistently resisted engaging with the Pembroke. In other words, they were kicking the door and sending copious correspondence inviting me to meet 10,000 different psychiatrists and I can show you the binder which I'm likely to find over the years. They even threatened to issue a warrant for my arrest and a lawyer told me they have no power to do so.

'Harassment without violence' was a lengthy and frustrating case, and there is some evidence that the Pembroke testified in my case. They testified covertly and the documents they gave were 'disappeared' by Basingstoke Magistrates. The Pembroke should not have evidence against me if I did not engage with them. It was also unlawful of Basingstoke Magistrates to conceal some of the charges against me which they did by disappearing their testimony. 1 Lawyer and the whole justice system wanted the evidence of Pembroke concealed from the accused(self). The Pembroke allegedly said I told them I wanted to kidnap the plaintiff's kids and harm them because I didn't bear children and I didn't care if the police became angry.

It sounds completely insane and therefore, if I did not say such things this is very grave malicious launder.

Mental Health lawyers in the UK having warned me that mental health staff are prone to telling lies under oath. I met other people who had been victimized by mental health services and they told me that I should all just stay away from them as much as possible.

No, my parents have admitted to visiting the Pembroke and telling them to give me treatment, to contact them more often and apparently my mother told him that was Hindu law and I was crazy if I did not obey that law. Subsequently the Pembroke changed my information on my bio data at the hospital saying I was a Hindu and not a Christian. They said they had no choice but to give me treatment under Hindu law, having been ordered by my parents. That is absurd,

Mental health staff called themselves clinicians and I told one Lynne Jones that I would not take medical treatment from somebody who doesn't have a medical degree. This lady called Lynne Jones was infuriated by my comment, and apparently she took revenge by petitioning for my deportation at the Home Office.

Ms Jones also petitioned psychiatrists to section me, and one lady psychiatrist (who I never met) wrote a letter to Pembroke (I have it) which she copied to me saying that she could not section me because it was not warranted. This lady was disciplined by the General Medical Council, a doctor said, it was all because of me. I have no idea why because I never met her. I am not responsible. I know that the Pembroke maintained bogus records over the years that I was engaging with them.

I apologize to the UK for saying I won't take medical treatment from people without a medical degree. Such an attitude is considered proper and just in older cultures I have been exposed to. But in the UK, this attitude is not socially acceptable.

There are some things suggesting UKBA wanted me deported unless I took mental treatment from female employees of the Pembroke with a goal to loving my parents. This dates to 2010, long before I had any criminal conviction.

I was sentenced on this day under the charge of harassment without violence and made to wear an electronic tag for four months.

At the end of this 4 month sentence, I was given a notice deporting me for the public good, called IS 151 C. In the above-mentioned document, the check box for 'the public good' was selected.

I left the UK by voluntary departure on 30 April 2018. I have been living in Ireland ever since and have faced various inhumanities. I am not legally qualified, but these are the following concerns I have.

In the year 2013, before and after my sentencing at Basingstoke magistrates a number of people who had stabbed people and murdered people had their deportation stayed under the EU Court of Human Rights to enjoy a private life in the UK. It did cause a public outcry BUT those people are staying in the UK and this news has been made invisible in the search engines.

The notice intending my deportation, IS151-C, would have been upgraded to IS 151 D(flight directions/itinerary) except for the presence of an expensive solicitor who was retained by my late father. I understand that this is a type of legal notice as used to deport non criminals.

If I am being deported for the public good, I must be a serious criminal, so why am I being deported as a non criminal?

Why is IT your business what document was used to deport you? It is my business because the conviction was fraudulent and there were procedural errors. Again, I am not legally qualified and my perception of what is an error may not be wanted by the court,

I give my opinion so that the court can assess me according to their opinion.

Other question that comes to light is that I was made deportable (public good, IS 151 C) by some subsection of subsection of an Immigration act -its Appendix FM. This pertains to sections one point 1.1.1 to 1.1.7.

This section means that the person named is deportable because of a criminal action. 1.1.1 stands for murder. And 1.1.7 means a person who is not an incarcerated offender but should be treated as such.

Obviously these are legal arguments for a solicitor, but because I was fraudulently convicted, I'm wondering how, if I am not an incarcerated offender, but I could be treated as such. I think a deportation office has a discretionary power to deport almost anyone. So where does this thing come about I am a cat, yet I should be treated as though I were a dog?

I apologize for this impertinent question, but this is the way my stupid head filled with foolishness thinks.

After 2013, right up to 2018 I was still undergoing immigration proceedings. They became really over my head and I didn't have a legal representative at one point in 2017 and I can't remember what was going on after that.

But I did get 2 notices from immigration or UKBA or Home Office. Honestly I don't know the legal differences between these three. One document cited me as belonging to section 1.1.6 and later 'upgraded' to 1.1.5 in another document. I think, when my virtual criminal score was 1.1.5 I was treated as someone who had been in jail for a year.

Now if these documents exist, surely immigration has them and I thought they would be able to explain them to a solicitor that they changed my status to somebody who? Incarcerated, even though this never happened.

I would like to fill it is I had an initial interrogation on 19th of April which was harrowing.

The WPC was hostile and was making a number of false allegations concocted by Viju.

I had been sent a lady legal helper to assist at the police station called Cynthia Hunt at my initial interrogation 19/04/2013. Cynthia was doing her job, but turned out to be unhelpful. When the WPC called her to talk in private, they talked for about two hours, leaving me waiting in an open cell in a completely deserted area.

The entire interview lasted over 5 hours. Once they had been away together for two hours, Miss Hunt was dead against me. I shouldn't call this a procedural error, but I think Cynthia should not be listening to someone and doing whatever they say. First the WPC listens to my sister and does whatever she says, and then the WPC talks to Hunt who does whatever the WPC says.

I think it would be nice if I could request a law firm to have a male sitting in on the hearing. If a female is good, if she's going to be rational and objective, that's OK. Otherwise I want a male, or whoever will be fair, rational and objective, not just flow away with someone's story when it involves hurting kids.

Ladies who just flow away when they hear allegations, when select words are like a red rag to a bull, like that should not work in the law, or they should only work as a legal defence only on the side of an accuser, who alleges rape harm to kids etc. There was genuine hatred in the WPC which she was able to infect Ms Hunt with during their private rendezvous.

I have read stories in newspapers like there was a popular Australian school teacher in a school 20+ years and he was sacked by the headmistress bang after an anonymous voice on the phone said when I was 14 and this schoolteacher was 15, he raped me. Nobody ever found out who the caller was. The

word rape uttered to the headmistress worked like a red rag to a bull, the female in her had tunnel vision, and wanted to get 'criminal justice' right away. Unfortunately, the gay master who had done nothing wrong hanged himself after being told suddenly over the phone he was a rapist and to be sacked immediately.

In my life experience, many women have that type of temperament, in all races and cultures, and many don't. A woman can make false allegations easily to that type of woman, who will follow up the crusade, take the axe. I called them vengeance females.

One vengeance female can phone another vengeance female she has never met, and the latter does the bidding of the former. A vengeance female never gets the cooperation of males and normal females also won't do her bidding.

The interrogation was so violent and hate-filled that it was beyond my nervous system and basically there was no way for me to communicate my side of the story.

I have said enough and do not wish to pen down every detail.

The WPC said I wrote two letters to my plaintiff that were anonymous. She said I send anonymous letters due to being mentally incompetent. Actually, my side of the story is the plaintiff had to work on a computer to remove my signature and date, otherwise there was nothing in the two letters (which asked the Wortleys to stop harassing me) that could be used to criminally prosecute. I did once use the word CHARLIE-UNCLE-NANCY-TEMPLE. I had commented that my sister and brother in law were not Christians, but I was wishing them Merry Christmas. Radha had told me my sister advised her children when they were small to tell the teachers at school the parents feel offended at the mention of Jesus Christ.

Normally this word cannot get someone into a jail or psych ward. It is the other allegations like wanting to harm the children that can earn such a punishment.

The WPC said repeatedly during the 5 hours my sister does not want me criminally prosecuted, she wants me under the psychiatric system instead. I was forced to take a mental assessment under one Sam Law. The latter asked a couple of silly questions, and said I have found you 100% mentally incompetent and you may go.

People ask how they can rely on my words, when I have been deemed mentally incompetent. How do you know the assessment was silly. We going in circles here. People can think what they want. One procedural error is that courts think like that.

If you are a human of only average intelligence, you would know if a mental assessment of someone that you are witnessing is absurd.

If are a human of average or above-average intelligence, of any qualification, but feel blind as a bat to judge if a mental assessment you witnessed was absurd(fake) or not, meaning not a proper/truthful assessment, perhaps your perception would give more information had I produced a covert tape of the assessment carried out on me.

If you feel totally blind the judge an assessment, I compare this to someone who thinks holy cows are holy and cannot reason further on that point.

Naturally if the court reasoned like, becoming weak-kneed towards woman+assessment, woman+assessment,...that is end of the road for me. That is the ultimate breakdown of communication.

If the courts dont like it they dont like it. But I am going to ask you this. Is it possible that a toilet that is used repeatedly thousands of times but never stank? It is possible to have a section of a department store where (everybody knows) CCTV is absent and shop detectives don't go, where there is 100% protection from loss prevention, where there is never any shoplifting?

I understand - most people would understand - a woman would not want to give graphic details of how she was raped to a man. The point is if women can meet women privately in a 100% leakproof environment, and hey presto they made truth without giving evidence, why would every woman (or shall I say every happy complainer-listener woman-pair on a private session) abstain from fabricating truths of their own liking.

So what to do about that? If my sister is terrified I would harm her children, should she be forced to talk to a man? What is she finds a sympathetic policewoman to listen to her. No. Nobody should be forced into anything.

I would like to remind you though -and you are not going to like this - which is that if my sister is fabricating, her terror is not real. Fabricators do not deserve the choicest support. Yet some fabricators can pay for the choicest support, and even otherwise, all folks good and bad should have freedom of choice. That is how I think. So if I had superpowers, I would not restrict a liar under oath from choosing a policewoman lawyer or other support who can support her properly in her wicked mission.

What other thing you won't like about me is I too should have that freedom. This would mean I should be able to legally choose the sex of my interrogator. This would also mean the law is the law (or should be)so that, when arrested or other police problem I should have the right to refuse that which is under the law refusable.

For example, during this 19 April interrogation narrated above, the WPC said i had a legal right to refuse the mental assessment but if I refused she would jail me right away. Perhaps this was not lawful or Ms Hunt could have stopped it.

I was so shaken I was crying loudly in the train from Basingstoke (back home) to Ealing Broadway and knew for sure I would rather die than accept this humiliation. I thought of something in a split second and did not know what I was thinking, I felt peace settle in my heart. I wanted to return to the site of my humiliation to discuss further and it had happened! I recalled the words of the Bin Laden phone pests. I am too tired to pull out that 40 pages long police report, (Jan 2007, Greenwich Borough, PC Festus Adabra). They left voicemails listened to by the police, 'I am Pakijan or Romford son of Bin Laden. Paki bastard I know you and will kill you and fuck your head.'

I simply dialled Vijus number from the running train and said the words 'Viju I will kill you and fuck your head.' I have never said that before and it was only to get rid of the psychiatric charge of 100% mentally incompetent after telling all kinds of lies . I was willing to jump off a building to just overcome this and move back into the criminal court.

site of my humiliation not to harm anyone) FEEL I should be locked up for life to having such a sharp response to humiliation. They did not lock me up for life because they did not have a legal right.

2

It is normal for men to get upset over humiliation while women are nurturers, they would reason. Such an individual or court system would FEEL as a woman in the much-hated male psychometric range, would feel they have 'scientific evidence' that I am a serial killer or as bad as one.

3

Secondly, I am sure some UK people, perhaps the UK courts would, (even if they believed I said it to return to the site of my humiliation not to harm anyone) FEEL that my response to this so-called abuse of self is not the normal female response. A female would have cracked up long ago and headed for the hills. Wrong. She would have tucked her head deep into the bosom of a mental health nurturer, who would generate great 'health' through private communication between same sex brains.

4

I think these believers would also believe a woman who can still sit up and write, as I am doing, proves I am the equivalent of a serial killer through 'scientifically proven laws'. Plus a woman who uses language like 'private communication between same sex brains' is a nauseating outcast from the human race to be spat on and shunned by all men.

5.

In the above four paragraphs I have expressed a suspicion of what is against me. This explains the unusually harsh punishment. The UK justice system are shy to disclose a psychometric belief.

A person awarding me a life sentence is similar to a person awarding me a death sentence. Both want me to have the ultimate punishment.

6.

What I have said in the above 5 paragraphs is a realization. that came to me, as I typed all night. My barrister is having a conference and i wanted to give him material. I am too tired to finish my story. It is my prayer to God and man that if what the UK government believe they will disclose it

