

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CENTRAL LONDON
BETWEEN:

MOHINI HERSOM

Claimant

-and-

VIJAYALAKSHMI WORTLEY

Defendant

Particulars of Claim

1. The Claimant is the daughter of Krishnaswami Venkataramanan (“the Deceased”), who died on 01 August 2024, in the UK.
2. The Defendant is the youngest daughter of the Deceased and sister of the Claimant and the purported executor of the purported Wills dated on 09 July 2021 and 27 November 2022 (“the disputed Wills”).
3. The Deceased made a clear promise to the Claimant that, provided she maintained family harmony and desisted from conflict with the Defendant, she would receive her rightful inheritance from the Deceased’s estate including the property at 19 Carston Grove, Calcot, reading, RG31 7ZN. The promise was repeated on numerous occasions.
4. At the time of executing the disputed Wills, the Deceased lacked the requisite testamentary capacity. The Deceased suffered from illness and was unable to understand the nature of the Wills, understand the extent of his property and appreciate the moral claims of his daughter, the Claimant.
5. The disputed Wills do not represent the free and independent will of the Deceased.

6. The Defendant and his family exerted undue influence over the Deceased in to making the Wills dated on 09 July 2021 and 27 November 2022.
7. By reason of the promise made by the Deceased (paragraph 3) and the Claimant's reliance on them, it would be unconscionable to allow the estate to be distributed according to the Disputed Wills.
8. The Deceased was the financial source of support for the Claimant and after his death, she is left abandoned and destitute.

AND

The Claimant Claims

- a. An order declaring that the Wills dated on 09 July 2021 and 27 November 2022 are invalid;
- b. A Grant of Letters of Administration to the Claimant or an independent administrator;
- c. An order giving effect to the Claimant's interest in the property of 19 Carston Grove, Calcot, reading, RG31 7ZN via Proprietary Estoppel;
- d. An order that the Defendant pays the costs of this claim;
- e. Such consequential directions as the court deems appropriate.

Just a clarification, I am physically sick in Dublin and they exploited me by not giving me opportunity to work. As for the UK they did not allow me to work legally 2004-2018, lifelong legal exploitation. I do not believe I am mentally off. Please be sure you do not file case based on me being mentally off, That is far more important

Your letter does not say I am mentally incompetent. but suggests it, I am used to solicitors believing all kinds of things, also "mentally incompetent" is a hot favourite of the UK, and my sister and father, surely you can add an explanatory sentence. No way! I believe dying for what I stand for

STATEMENT OF TRUTH

1. I believe that the facts stated in these particulars of claim are true. I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

Mohini Hersom

Signed:

Name: Mohini Hersom

Dated: 11 May 2026

Please modify item no 8 to a statement that shows I am mentally competent. I don't do all sorts of things of money. I don't need to win money from my sister and father based on people-pleasing Viju-pleasing theory I am born mentally incompetent. I have wrongs Viju did (undue influence) and some proofs. Maybe this takes you more time than declaring me mentally incompetent. Nobody will oppose you, Viju will be happy. I prefer to be dead. Mental health staff stink plus they can't do a damn thing to me nor stop me dying once my mind is made up. In my upbringing using mentally incompetent is morally a crime against humanity. Mentally incompetent is not a tool to use for one's enjoyment. Mental staff are mildly retarded and morally degraded