
certificate of posting: Mohini and Alex

1 message

Mohini Hersom <spaniel.golden@gmail.com>
To: mdabusufian@yahoo.com

Sat, Feb 8, 2025 at 3:14 PM

Dear Mr Abu

I find it difficult to put into words legal matters and it was a traumatic experience. I have seen that I don't even have half the reading speed of a solicitor.

Alex is a kind man and knows I am going to die from this legal abuse because it matters to me. Again, I lack "womens". I feel they hate me in the UK (and Ireland) for not having "womens". Therefore they charged with what is a shameful action of women endowed with "womens"

I took the GRE and TOEFL 3 times in 12 years and scored in English consistently on the high end of native speakers' scores with 96% in verbal comprehension.

In the UK, they only ever say bad things about my English. Some say I have NOW become mentally retarded. I feel this is an exaggeration. Frankly, women needing TO BE SUPPLIED WITH women to share close intimate secrets - and unable to communicate FORMALLY sounds like an intellectual impediment. Maybe it is just their political opinion.

It appears all (or most) women born in the UK have "womens" and would benefit from being awarded a facility to discuss everything privately with a woman. Frankly no offence meant. I was born without such propensities. In fact, this lack of woman propensity is the reason for my suffering. I would not have been falsely convicted of the harassment of helpless weak women and children.

I became a Christian in the UK in 1982 but am not overly religious. I was born and raised a Hindu until 21 in India. While some Hindus don't swear, my father was a swearing Hindu, and Mom as well. We grew up knowing only America not England. I lived in America in the 80s when "bitch" and "bastard" were words useable in the American supreme court. I wonder, since UK does not have a ruling religion, on what basis do they desire to almost serve the death sentence for using "bastard"?

Structured languages are my favorite and I like metaphor and emotive expressions.

Emails presented as evidence in the civil and criminal cases contained NOTHING about Viju Wortley and her family, and the recipients DID NOT INCLUDE Mrs Wortley &Co.

I want the truth out : These emails are presented to create a bad impression, but when you look closely, they DO NOT COUNT towards harassment of Viju Wortley

Police implicated my father (brief). After talking to my father in Jan 2024 I realised police implicated him for what they themselves did. The police did not want me to have Daddy's support in 2013. If you look at the above emails the "letterhead" is the logo of a Basingstoke police employee, The emails were faxed to Basingstoke from a fax machine whose name was BBENOFAX.

I doubt my father or Viju Wortley would have owned such a fax machine. It must have belonged to a large public department, the government or a charity.

Submission of these emails in the civil and criminal case does not prove the motivation of Viju and Steve Wortley to convict me- which is a known fact.

What is proved is that the authorities themselves wanted such a conviction. Mr. and Mrs. Wortley were (probably) happy for their support, which aside from God's support, is the best support a person can have.

IF THE AUTHORITIES THEMSELVES DID THE CONVICTION, OR WANTED THE CONVICTION-IT IS UP TO YOU. I WANT THE LIES TO BE CLEARED UP AND WHAT REMAINS-WHATEVER - AS LONG AS LIES GET CLEARED UP.

I want from my side to expedite the matter, so when you get this snail mail- not registered, please text your conference appointment date and time. I have no appointments in February other than a 24 Feb hospital appointment, and await a Citizens advice appointment asap.

I know how you want the whole bundle. I posted it before, and I understand there are delays and problems with these reaching you so hopefully this will reach you easily.

I have not posted the whole bundle this time. I am unclear what the whole bundle is. I feel if I can get your attention around what happened on or before my overnight detention at Basingstoke police station. I feel this gives you my type of case. This is easier for me and you.

I am sure you don't have time to read hundreds of pages.

I have sent something shorter than the case bundle. It has a lot of information. I have sent "chargesheet" and civil case, and a typed document, which information supplements the "chargesheet".

If you do not have time to read this, please only look at the headings, at the conference- can look at the "chargesheet". I have sent "chargesheet" while being unclear what it is. I feel sure though it includes whatever is the actual chargesheet.

You can see here I have pleaded innocent to the harassment of Viju Wortley, and pleaded guilty to calling Steven Wortley a bastard.

For the better/worse I would really like the courts to understand I am not guilty of the type of offence involving threatening women and children. Instead I showed aggression - not physical - towards men.

This is a type of offence that is outside my range. I did not engage with the Pembroke and did not tell them I want to harm Viju and her children.

There were a lot of goings-on before this event (brief), some prior to arriving in the UK and the abusive attitude of my parents. Viju herself appeared to have spoken ill of me to Nicholas Hersom earlier, which must have helped us to get divorced.

I have no interest in bearing children, and did not at the time of allegation/conviction. Viju said I wanted to bear children and to kidnap and harm hers, that I told them Pembroke so, and that I did not care if the police went after me. The children in question were six feet tall at the time of allegation/conviction.

Please look at the heading concerning "The police helped the plaintiff write her chargesheet". And forgery. Are these making sense?

Again the fact I am guilty of something else - the crime is NOT harming women and children (if you take my word for it- maybe not), which had ample provocation- much went on in the years prior to 2013 - I have given some fleeting details.

Surely my calling Steve a bastard is proveable by home office verification.

Surely my allegation I was intimidated into a guilty plea is more credible if I said I did something else, and my lawyer said unless I pleaded guilty punishment would be very severe, he would get me off.

It is hard to prove that a lawyer intimidated me into a guilty plea

However I have a letter from Ally Lindsay narrating my case details as I presented before he accepted me. I have another letter from him, May 13. The letter claims I was keen on the guilty plea, however admits his keenness for the same.

Before the guilty plea of May 9 and subsequently also, I was emailing Basingstoke Magistrates about my dissatisfaction with Ally Lindsay which probably shows he was pressuring in a different direction than I wanted to go.

The post - plea emails wanted plea reversal and a petition to dismiss Ally Lindsay solicitors. While this might be cheeky of me, it was what saved me from getting a 6-month prison sentence on May 30, and only because the magistrate accepted my petition. I did not know the significance of the guilty plea or

what is meant by a case going to trial, at the time I made the guilty plea. He extracted a promise not to say more than one word, 'GUILTY' and I did what he said

What about the evidence presented by the plaintiff? The emails contain wild language, and have terms of phrase annoying to British politics.

Respectfully,
Mohini Hersom



certificate-of-posting.jpg
4317K